A Mass Spectrometric-NMR Study of Fullerene-78 Isomers

Roger Taylor,^a G. John Langley,^b T. John S. Dennis,^a Harold W. Kroto^a and David R. M. Walton^a

a School of Chemistry & Molecular Sciences, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QJ, UK *b Chemistry Department, The University, Southampton SO9 5NH, UK*

Three isomers of *C78* have been detected by *13C* NMR spectroscopy; one of the signals ascribed in the literature to the *D3* isomer is absent from our spectrum.

Fullerene-78, first isolated by Diederich *et* ul. **,I** is of particular interest because of the five possible isomers conforming to the pentagon isolation rule (PIR);^{2,3} two (with C_{2v} and D_3 symmetry) have been identified⁴ from their ¹³C NMR spectra. Diederich et al.⁴ have pointed out that the five fullerene-78 isomers predicted to be stable by Fowler and Manolopoulos⁵ can be divided into two sets: one consisting solely of the *D3* isomer, and the other of the remaining four $(D_{3h}, C_{2v}, C_{2v'}$ and *D_{3h'}*), the latter group being interconvertible by Stone–Wales pyracyclene transformations (SWPT) .f~ Diederich *et* al. also suggested that these other isomers were absent because they had readily converted into the C_{2v} isomer, conceivably the most stable member of the SWPT-linked set. The D_{3h} and C_{2v} isomers are predicted to be particularly susceptible to additions.7

The $C_{2\nu}$ isomer exhibits one group of eighteen lines and another group of three lines (peak height ratio = $2:1$),⁴ as predicted.⁵ Thirteen lines, reported to be of equal intensity, were assigned on the basis of the Fower-Manolopoulos work⁵ to the D_3 isomer, and the C_{2v} : D_3 ratio was estimated to be 5 : 1.⁴ We have reproduced the spectrum of the C_{2v} isomer but have located only twelve of the reported⁴ thirteen lines attributed to the *D3* species. In addition, we have detected eighteen further lines which we propose belong to a third isomer. The major problem in obtaining an unambiguous assignment lies in the difficulty in chromatographically resolving the various components, in particular separation of the fullerene-78 group from fullerene-76 (13C NMR spectrum, see ref. 8).

The original fullerene separation technique⁹ was used. Soxhlet extraction (chloroform and dichloromethane) of soot produced by the carbon-arc procedure, $10,11$ followed by concentration and elution of the extract with hexane from neutral alumina columns removed most of the C_{60} and C_{70} . The columns were then stripped with carbon tetrachloride to give yellowish solutions, the mass spectra of which revealed the presence of C_{76} , C_{78} , C_{82} , C_{84} and higher fullerenes $\leq C_{102}$ (Fig. 1). The combined solutions were evaporated to dryness and the residue was dissolved in hexane and chromatographed using a Gilson high pressure liquid chromatogram equipped with a 41.4 mm \times 25 cm preparative Rainin Dynamax 60 A column (8 μ m cyano) operating at 45 bar [elution with hexane (20 l pumped per day); typical injection = 30 cm^3 : run time = 28 min]. Residual C_{60} and C_{70} eluted initially, followed by three yellow fractions and one red-brown fraction (subsequent fractions, containing higher fullerenes, were combined and concentrated pending reprocessing). The first ycllow fraction was rechromatographed twice in order to eliminate the second yellow fraction together with all but traces of C_{60} and C_{70} which were deliberately retained for reference purposes. The EI positive ion mass spectrum (heated probe) showed the first yellow fraction (Fig. 2) to contain C_{76} and C_{78}

Fig. 1 Mass spectrum of soot extract after partial removal of C_{60} and C_{70}

Table 1 ¹³C NMR shifts $(\delta$ in ppm)^{*a*} for C_{76} and C_{78}

$C_{76}(D_2)$	Intensity	$C_{78}(C_{2v})$	Intensity	$C_{78}(D_3)$	Intensity	$C_{78}(C_{2v})$	Intensity
149.46	6.6	147.18	4.33	148.94	2.14	146.41	1.31
147.38	7.0	147.14	4.00	147.63	2.14	146.32	1.15
147.09	6.9	146.54	4.11	144.99	2.27	145.76	1.20 ^b
146.62	7.1	145.69	4.09	144.01	2.53	144.82	1.27
146.08	6.7	145.60	4.24	142.37	2.03	144.52	1.35
145.93	6.4	145.52	4.18	141.33	1.93	143.83	1.62c
145.33	6.5	144.58	1.50	140.40	1.96	143.81	1.05c
143.57	6.1	144.46	3.90	140.31	1.68	143.26	1.01
143.47	7.5	144.27	4.18	139.95	1.77	141.21	2.18
143.03	7.0	144.06	4.03	139.05	2.05	139.10	1.14
142.29	6.5	143.45	4.20c	132.45	1.98	138.00	0.80
142.22	7.1	142.90	4.33	131.69	1.49	137.85	0.73
141.56	7.0	141.75	2.21			136.79	0.92
141.52	7.5	137.98	4.63c			136.61	1.20
140.77	6.2	137.96	4.98c			135.75	0.65
136.49	6.6	137.63	3.85			134.75	1.13
135.01	6.0	137.24	3.77			132.18	1.13
132.84	5.9	136.07	3.52				
129.00	6.2	133.56	3.03				
		132.21	2.10				
		131.89	3.06				

a Relative to C₆₀ at δ 142.67. *b* Two almost coincident peaks. *c* Shoulder.

but no higher fullerenes. [Owing to their higher volatility the amounts of C_{60} and C_{70} in the sample are greatly exaggerated in the mass spectrum. The 13C **NMR** spectrum indicates that approximately equal amounts of C_{76} and C_{78} are present. The greater mass spectral intensity of C_{76} is due to the inverse relationship between intensity and volatility (mass-dependent) and, we also suggest, because C_2 extrusion from C_{78} should be particularly facile in view of the stability of *C76.]* Resolution of C_{76} and C_{78} was not attempted owing to lack of resources. The UV spectrum exhibited maxima at 316, 317, 358, 376, 408, 432, 469, 526, 638, 710, 752, 768 and 820 nm consistent with data already reported.4-8 The 13C NMR spectrum of the mixture $[CS_2]$ solution, added $Cr(\text{ac}a)_3$ (Hacac = pentane-2,4-dione), CDCl₃ internal lock, 190000 scans, Bruker *500* MHz instrument] is shown in Fig. 3 (expanded version, see Fig. 4). The small C_{60} line at δ 142.67 serves as a reference for the entire spectrum. C_{70} peaks are discernible at 150.05, 147.48, 146.82, 144.78 and 130.29; all of these peaks are within ± 0.02 ppm of values previously described.9

The $C_{76}(D_2)$ (main component; Fig. 3 top) ¹³C NMR spectrum consists of 19 lines of approximately equal intensity (Table 1); each line is 0.575 ± 0.015 ppm upfield from the values previously reported (acetone lock).8 The second row identifies and confirms all the lines reported for $C_{78}(C_{2v})$ (starred peaks, half intensity), the lines (Table 1) being 0.44 ± 1 0.02 ppm upfield compared to the earlier report (acetone $lock).$

The third row corresponds to peaks attributed to $C_{78}(D_3)$.⁴ However, only twelve of the thirteen peaks cited (all $0.50 \pm$ 0.04 ppm upfield, lock not quoted) are present. The peak which, according to ref. 4, should appear at δ 134.35, is absent. Although there is a very weak feature at δ 134.29, it is an order of magnitude lower in intensity than that required to fit the proposed D_3 structure.⁴ We conclude from this observation that *either* the proposed structure is incorrect *or* that, more likely, the thirteenth peak lies elsewhere. As the original assignment was made on a sample containing C_{76} ,⁴ a possible explanation is that this line is overlapped. (Expansion of our spectrum in the 6 131.5-132.5 region shows that the *⁰³* peak at δ 131.69 appears to be a triplet and the peak at δ 132.5 a doublet, a feature we hope subsequently to investigate further.)

Our **NMR** spectrum also reveals the presence of at least one

further component commensurate with a third fullerene-78 isomer on the basis of mass spectrometry. The eighteen new **NMR** peaks are identified at the bottom of Fig. **3** and the shifts and intensities are recorded in Table 1 (column 4). The other isomers: C_{2v} , D_{3h} and $D_{3h'}$, should have 22 [17(4C) + 5(2C)], $8[5(12C) + 3(6C)]$ and $8[5(12C) + 3(6C)]$ lines respectively.⁵ On the basis of this circumstantial evidence we tentatively suggest that the new lines probably belong to the $C_{2\nu}$ isomer, especially as eighteen is a lower limit for the number of lines in the spectrum. Note that the only other C_{76} isomer allowed by the PIR^{2,3} has T_d symmetry and should exhibit five lines (ratio $1:3:3:6:6$).¹²

The relative stabilities of the various fullerene isomers pose important questions. Fowler and Manolopoulos have studied the effect of electronic factors using MO theory⁵ and Taylor¹³ has discussed them from the VB point of view. Diederich *et* $al⁴$ on the other hand have emphasised skeletal strain. It still remains to be seen precisely how important these factors actually are. In this context, the recent observation that corannulene can invert¹⁴ indicates how limited our understanding is of out-of-plane strain (OOPS) in the context of these novel extended carbon network molecules. It is interesting to note that in the original study⁴ the C_{2v} : D_3 ratio was *5* : 1, whereas in our work the ratio is *ca.* 3 : 1 and a new isomer has been detected. The identification of a third fullerene-78 isomer, coupled with its lack of detection in the original study,4 suggests that interconversion within a SWPT-linked manifold may not be facile. On the other hand, if the noise level in that study4 was such that the third isomer was obscured, a SWPT interconversion may still be facile and our observed fullerene-78 distribution may represent the population at thermal equilibrium. Clearly there are difficulties in advancing detailed mechanistic arguments applicable to these systems, as the materials are produced in carbon arcs operating at high temperatures in excess of 2000-3000 K and in the presence of very high UV fluxes. Under such conditions almost all types of skeletal rearrangements are feasible and the isomer distribution may depend upon conditions associated with diverse fullerene production methods rather than their intrinsic relative stabilities. Although criteria for SWPT transformations, which are thermally forbidden but photochemically allowed, have been set, as yet it is not clear that such processes significantly reduce the plethora of higher fullerene isomers which may be realised in practice.

Added in proof

Achiba and coworkers¹⁵ have now reported that they also find the C_{2v} isomer of C_{78} , giving 22 peaks, 18 of which agree precisely with those reported here $(0.60 \pm 0.02 \text{ ppm} \cdot \text{lock})$ shift). Two of the additional peaks should be of half intensity, at 6 146.91 and 146.82, and are just discernible in our spectrum. The other two peaks (at *b* 142.22 and 136.48) coincide with two of the *C7,* peaks and so are not discernible. They find the C_{2v} isomer to be the major one, and from comparison of both spectra, it is possible to deduce that the weak feature noted (above) at δ 134.29 is one of the *minor* $C_{2\nu'}$ *peaks* whereas the peak at 6 141.21 is *the 'missing' one for the D3 isomer.* The intensity of this peak (Table 1) also indicates that it belongs to the D_3 isomer, rather than the $C_{2\nu}$ one.

We thank the SERC for provision of HPLC equipment. G. J. L. thanks the British Mass Spectrometry Society for providing a direct chemical ionisation probe.

Received, 3rd April 1992; Corn. 2/01 757A

References

1 F. Diederich, R. Ettl, Y. Rubin, R. L. Whetten, R. Beck, M. Alvarez, S. Anz, D. Sensharma, F. Wudl, K. C. Khemani and A. Koch. *Science,* 1091, **252,** 548.

- 2 H. W. Kroto, *Nature.* 1987, **329,** 529.
- 3 T. G. Schmalz, W. A. Seitz, D. J. Klein and G. E. Hite, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 1988, **110,** 1113.
- 4, F. Diederich, R. L. Whetten, C. Thilgen, R. Ettl, 1. Chao and M. M. Alvarez, *Science,* 1991, **254,** 1768.
- 5 P. W. Fowler, D. E. Manolopoulos and R. C. Batten, *J. Chem.* SOC., *Faraday Trans.,* 1991, **87,** 3103.
- 6 A. J. Stone and D. J. Wales, *Chem. Phys. Lett.,* 1986. **128,** 501.
- 7 R. Taylor, *J. Chem. SOC., Perhin Truns. 2,* 1992, 453.
- 8 R. Ettl, I. Chao, F. Diederich and R. L. Whetten, *Nature,* 1991, **353,149.**
- 9 R. Taylor, J. P. Hare, **A.** K. Abdul-Sada and H. W. Kroto. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.,* 1990, 1423.
- 10 W. Kratschmer, L. D. Lamb, K. Fostiropolous and D. R. Huffman, *Nature.* 1990, **347,** 254.
- 11 J. P. Hare, H. W. Kroto and R. Taylor, *Chem. Phys. Lett..* 1991, **177,** 394.
- 12 D. E. Manolopoulos, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.,* 1991, **87,** 2861.
- 13 R. Taylor, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Tram. 2,* 1991, 3.
- 14 L. T. Scott, M. M. Hashemi and M. *S.* Bratcher, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 1992, **114,** 1920; A. Borchardt, A. Fuchicello. K. V. Kilway, K. K. Baldridge and J. S. Siegel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 1992, **114,** 1921.
- 15 K. Kikuchi, T. Wakabayashi, N. Nakahara, S. Suzuki, H. Shiromaru, Y. Miyake, K. Saito, I. Ikemoto, M. Kaionsho and Y. Achiba, *Nature,* 1992, **357,** 142.